

Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization Practices on Wheat Protein Content in the San Joaquin Valley 2013-2014 Report

Project Leader

Steve Wright
Farm Advisor –Tulare/Kings
UCCE Tulare County
4437 S. Laspina, Ste. B. Tulare, CA
93274-9539
559-684-3315
sdwright@ucdavis.edu

Cooperators:

Bob Hutmacher
WSRC Field Station Director. UC Cotton
State Specialist
17353 West Oakland Avenue Five Points, CA
93624
(559) 884-2411
rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu

Collaborators

Steve Orloff
Farm Advisor/ County Director
UCCE Siskiyou County
1655 S. Main St. Yreka, CA
(530) 842-2711
sborloff@ucdavis.edu

Gerardo Banuelos SRA III
Sonia Rios SRA II
Kelly Hutmacher
UCCE Tulare/Kings County
4437 S. Laspina, Ste. B. Tulare, CA 93274-
9539
559-684-3315

Title of Research Project

“Effect of Nitrogen Fertilization Practices on Spring Wheat Protein Content”

Abstract/Summary of Results and Conclusions

Abstract

Growers seek both high yield and high protein content to improve the profitability of wheat production. This can be difficult to achieve especially with many of the newer higher yielding wheat varieties. University of California studies in the 1980’s demonstrated late-season N applications conducted in the San Joaquin Valley increased grain protein content by 0.5 to 2 percent depending on rate and timing. This work was done with Yecora Rojo (already a high nitrogen accumulating wheat variety). Research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of this practice on a range of newer varieties to quantify the level nitrogen and proper timing needed to achieve both high protein and yields. This study is a follow up to work done in 2011-2013. The study conducted at WSREC indicated applying N at planting, tillering, boot, and flowering on newer varieties increased yield and protein. Highest rates gave the greatest response to yield and protein. The summaries still have to have statistical analysis done to make further conclusions. Results of the research conducted in 2013 were instrumental in 4 presentations given statewide for Certified Crop Advisors in Nutrient Mgmt. training and being used by Bob Hutmacher who is part of the statewide advisory board for N mgmt. guidelines and potential regulations.

The objectives of this research were to:

1. Evaluate nitrogen rates and application timing for improving both grain yield and protein.
2. Compare the protein content of four wheat varieties at two locations.
3. Assess the effectiveness of late-season N applications to increase protein in different wheat varieties.
4. Soil Cores were taken after harvest but will be discussed in another proposal working with Bob Hutmacher.

Materials and Methods

All plots were replicated four times in a factorial randomized complete block design. Factors were the 3 wheat varieties and 15 nitrogen treatment regimens. Main plot was the variety and sub-plot was the treatment. Data collected includes plant tissue nitrate levels, grain yield, bushel weight, and grain protein content. Trials were planted and harvested by personnel from the University of California at Davis. An analysis of variance analysis will be performed on all data using SAS statistical software.

Preplant soil nitrogen test was taken. This season the soil nitrogen test showed that there was about 80 lbs. available, in addition to the N treatments listed above. This study is a follow up from previous studies and established at the WSREC and KARE research centers in the southern San Joaquin Valley. Varieties representing a range of yield and protein potential were evaluated for yield, bushel weight and protein content. The varieties for the SJV site included: Volante Durum, Summit 515 and a hard white variety Blanca Grande. Nitrogen was applied as a foliar application for all timings with urea.

Results In general, results were similar to 2013 where varieties differed in yield and grain protein content. Yields in 2014 were approximately half a ton lower than 2013. Research indicated applying N at planting, tillering, boot, and flowering on newer varieties increase yield and protein. Some treatments where the greatest amount of nitrogen applied on at the flowering stage resulted in acceptable yield. The study conducted at WSREC indicated applying N at planting, tillering, boot, and flowering on newer varieties increased yield and protein. Highest rates gave the greatest response to yield and protein.

More research is needed to complete an economic evaluation of the nitrogen strategies using the different yields and protein contents at different price levels and protein penalties (and premiums) to determine the profit potential with different nitrogen management strategies. The summaries still have to have statistical analysis done to make further conclusions. Preplant soil nitrogen tests should be part of the nitrogen management strategies in wheat. These are preliminary results and statistics have not been analyzed.

Table 1. Blanca Grande Protein % and Yield (Tons/A) WSCREC

| | N | N | N | N | Total lb | Protein | Yield |
|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Pre-Plant | Tillering | Joint | Boot | Flowering | N/Acre | % | Tons/A |
| 40R | | | | | 40 | 10.8 | 1.9 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | | 130 | 10.9 | 3.1 |
| 40R | 70 lb | | 70 lb | | 180 | 13.3 | 3.1 |
| 40R | | | 70 lb | 70 lb | 180 | 15.6 | 2.7 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | 90 lb | 180 | 14.4 | 3.1 |
| 40R | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 230 | 14.8 | 3.5 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | | 230 | 13.4 | 3.1 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | | 60 lb | 230 | 11.0 | 3.1 |
| 40R + 240 lb N | | | | | 280 | 12.7 | 3.8 |
| 40R | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 14.3 | 3.6 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 280 | 13.3 | 3.5 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 14.5 | 3.4 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | | 50 lb | | 300 | 13.6 | 4.0 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | 50 lb | | | 300 | 13.0 | 3.6 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 330 | 14.0 | 3.7 |

Table 2. Summit 515 Protein % and Yield (Tons/A) WSREC.

| | N | N | N | N | Total lb | Protein | Yield |
|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Pre-Plant | Tillering | Joint | Boot | Flowering | N/Acre | % | Tons/A |
| 40R | | | | | 40 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | | 130 | 11.2 | 3.4 |
| 40R | 70 lb | | 70 lb | | 180 | 12.7 | 3.1 |
| 40R | | | 70 lb | 70 lb | 180 | 14.6 | 2.9 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | 90 lb | 230 | 13.8 | 3.5 |
| 40R | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 230 | 13.8 | 4.0 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | | 230 | 12.9 | 3.8 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | | 60 lb | 230 | 10.9 | 3.6 |
| 40R + 240 lb N | | | | | 280 | 12.9 | 3.6 |
| 40R | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 13.6 | 3.9 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 280 | 13.3 | 3.8 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 13.8 | 3.9 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | | 50 lb | | 300 | 12.8 | 3.6 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | 50 lb | | | 300 | 12.4 | 3.7 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 330 | 13.4 | 3.4 |

Table 3. Volante Protein % and Yield (Tons/A) WSREC.

| | N | N | N | N | Total lb | Protein | Yield |
|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|
| Pre-Plant | Tillering | Joint | Boot | Flowering | N/Acre | % | Tons/A |
| 40R | | | | | 40 | 9.8 | 2.4 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | | 130 | 10.1 | 3.3 |
| 40R | 70 lb | | 70 lb | | 180 | 12.0 | 3.5 |
| 40R | | | 70 lb | 70 lb | 180 | 13.8 | 2.6 |
| 40R | 90 lb | | | 90 lb | 180 | 13.3 | 3.6 |
| 40R | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 230 | 13.4 | 3.6 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | | 230 | 11.9 | 3.5 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | | 60 lb | 230 | 9.9 | 3.2 |
| 40R + 240 lb N | | | | | 280 | 11.1 | 3.4 |
| 40R | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 12.8 | 3.7 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 280 | 12.4 | 4.1 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | | 60 lb | 60 lb | 280 | 12.8 | 3.8 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | | 50 lb | | 300 | 11.7 | 3.8 |
| 40R + 160 lb N | 50 lb | 50 lb | | | 300 | 11.6 | 4.0 |
| 40R + 60 lb N | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 60 lb | 330 | 12.5 | 3.9 |

Budget The majority was spent on employee labor and benefits. Some was spent on research station charges. Travel was not included.